APPEAL NO. 021995 FILED SEPTEMBER 24, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on July 11, 2002. The hearing officer determined that the respondent (claimant) injured her wrists, along with her back, on ______. The appellant (carrier) appeals this determination as against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence. There is no response from the claimant.

DECISION

We affirm the hearing officer's decision.

Although characterized as an "extent"-of-injury" issue, the case in fact involved the nature of the original injury that occurred on ______, rather than a naturally flowing aspect of the agreed back injury or later-manifested injury to another region.

Essentially, the carrier quarrels with the manner in which the hearing officer gave weight and credibility to the evidence. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the relevance, materiality, weight, and credibility of the evidence presented at the hearing. Section 410.165(a). The decision should not be set aside because different inferences and conclusions may be drawn upon review, even when the record, as in this case, contains evidence that would lend itself to different inferences. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied); American Motorists Insurance Co. v. Volentine, 867 S.W.2d 170 (Tex. App.-Beaumont 1993, no writ). The record in this case presented conflicting evidence for the hearing officer to resolve. In considering all the evidence in the record, we cannot agree that the findings of the hearing officer are so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be manifestly wrong and unjust. In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951). We therefore affirm the decision and order.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **PACIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

MARCUS CHARLES MERRITT 6600 CAMPUS CIRCLE DRIVE EAST, SUITE 200 IRVING, TEXAS 75063

CONCUR:	Susan M. Kelley Appeals Judge
Thomas A. Knapp Appeals Judge	
Philip F. O'Neill Appeals Judge	